

Application No. 09/895,786  
Amendment. dated March 12, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of March 13, 2003

**REMARKS**

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112**

The Examiner has rejected claim 26 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 26 has been cancelled.

Claims 1-114 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,238,735. A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) is being filed to Patent No. 6,238,725 to overcome the rejection based on nonstatutory double patenting.


Application No. 09/895,786  
Amendment dated March 12, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of March 13, 2003

If there are any additional charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: 3/12, 2004

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Heather M. Molleur  
Reg. No. 50,432

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026  
(408) 720-8300